Standard 5: Assessment is Integrated into Instruction

Assessment has two fundamental functions. The first is to provide information on students’ current levels of achievement. Such assessments serve a summative function; they sum up what students have learned after a more or less extended sequence of teaching and learning, for example, at the end of a unit which may last several weeks, at the end of a quarter, or annually. The second purpose of assessment is to inform what students and teachers do day-by-day to ensure that students make progress toward desired outcomes. Assessment for this purpose serves a formative function and occurs when teachers engage in a continual “taking stock” of learning by paying close, first-hand attention to specific aspects of students’ developing understanding and skills as teaching and learning is taking place in real time [1]. Standard 5 addresses how teachers effectively integrate gathering and using evidence of learning into on-going instruction.

Assessment Integrated into Instruction

In 1969, Benjamin Bloom wrote: “Evaluation which is directly related to the teaching-learning process as it unfolds can have highly beneficial effects on the learning of students, the instructional process of teachers, and the use of instructional materials by teachers and learners” [2] (p. 50). Since then, several studies have investigated the effects of integrating assessment with instruction as Bloom proposed. For example, in the Cognitively-Guided Instruction (CGI) project, teachers were trained to use evidence they collected during instruction to meet their students’ learning needs. Students taught by CGI teachers had higher mathematics achievement than those students not taught by CGI teachers [3]. More recently, in a project designed to improve teachers’ use of formative assessment (integrating assessment into instruction), Black, Harrison, Lee, Marshall, & Wiliam (2003) found beneficial effects on student achievement [4].

Several research syntheses have documented the positive impact of assessment integrated into instruction on student learning. A review by Fuchs and Fuchs synthesized findings from 21 different research studies on the use of assessment to inform the instruction of students with special needs [5]. They found that regular assessment (two to five times per week), with follow-up action, produced a substantial increase in student learning.

In their landmark review, updating reviews by Crooks [6] and Natriello [7], Black and Wiliam [8] examined 250 studies addressing aspects of formative assessment and concluded that formative assessment yielded improvements in student achievement. They suggested that formative assessment, when effectively implemented, could impact student achievement as much or more than any other instructional interventions. Research has supported Black and Wiliam’s conclusion, and although
methodologies and the range of reported effect sizes vary widely from study to study, collective results indicate that when teachers engage in the practices of formative assessment student achievement is improved in positive, significant ways (cf. [9-15]).

In their report, the authors of How People Learn [16] stressed formative assessment as an essential factor in supporting learning. A further NRC report, Knowing What Students Know (KSWK) [17], synthesizing decades of research on measurement, psychometrics, and cognition, emphasized that assessment “should focus on making students’ thinking visible to both their teachers and themselves so that instructional strategies can be selected to support an appropriate course for future learning” (p. 4). NRC’s KSWK [17] also stated that “good formative assessment requires radical changes in the way students are encouraged to express their ideas” (p. 227). Teachers must purposefully structure opportunities to generate evidence of learning during the lesson.

Feedback
Feedback is a central component of formative assessment practices. Feedback is both the information imparted from monitoring learners’ progress toward attaining a desired goal and the responses that foster student learning [11, 14, 18-20]. Ample evidence has shown feedback to be one of the most powerful methods that influence student learning; in particular, many meta-analyses produced effect sizes above 0.4 (cf. [10, 13]).

A key finding in Hattie’s synthesis of over 800 meta-analysis studies, which accounted for over 50,000 studies, was that the most powerful single influence enhancing achievement is quality feedback [10]. It is important to note that not all feedback is equally effective. Giving feedback that is descriptive and evaluative and engages students in mindful activity – in contrast to feedback that gives current achievement – had the greatest benefits in student achievement ([13, 15, 21].

In summary, formative assessment, a set of assessment practices that are integrated into instruction, has been shown as a powerful tool in increasing student achievement. Drawing from learning theories and research from classroom practices, assessment that is integrated into instruction is a critical aspect in teaching and student learning.
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