



# TAKE IT TO THE MAT

A NEWSLETTER ADDRESSING THE FINER POINTS OF MATHEMATICS INSTRUCTION



Southern Nevada Regional Professional Development Program  
April 2005 — Middle School Edition

[www.rpd.net](http://www.rpd.net)

In this final issue of *Take It to the MAT* for 2004–2005, we close our discussion of proportional reasoning and the errors in language that relate to it. In the March 2005 edition, we focused on *absolute* differences in percentage as compared to *relative* differences. This time, we'll extend this to what one might call "errors of symmetry" in the use of percentage differences.

Imagine a company that produced 8 units of some product last year. This year, the company produced 10 units of the product. By what percentage did the company increase its output? As we saw last month, *relative change* =  $\frac{\text{final condition} - \text{initial condition}}{\text{initial condition}} = \frac{\text{absolute change}}{\text{initial condition}}$ . So,

$$\text{relative change} = \frac{10 - 8}{8} = \frac{2}{8} = 0.25 = 25\% . \text{ The company's output grew by one-fourth or 25 percent.}$$

Now, imagine a similar company that produced 10 units last year and only 8 units this year. What was the percentage decrease in production? Many students would quickly reply, "Twenty-five percent." After all, if going from 8 to 10 is an increase of 25%, then going from 10 to 8 should be a decrease of 25%, right? No. This is an error of symmetry. Let's do the math:

$$\text{relative change} = \frac{\text{final condition} - \text{initial condition}}{\text{initial condition}} = \frac{8 - 10}{10} = \frac{-2}{10} = -20\% . \text{ The absolute change is}$$

2 units, and that change is based on 10 units, not 8 units. Two is 20% of 10, not 8.

Errors of symmetry can also be shown by this classic math problem: *An employer announces to his staff that because of poor sales, salaries will be cut by 10%. Later, when sales improve, the staff will receive a 10% pay raise to offset the cut. What will an employee be making after the cut and the subsequent raise?* Once again, students will be inclined to state that employees will be making the same salary that they started with. After all, a cut of 10% followed by a raise of 10% balances out. This is an error of symmetry when dealing with *relative change*.

Let's take an employee making \$100/day. After a 10% pay cut, the worker would drop by \$10/day to \$90/day. But a 10% raise on that \$90/day adds only \$9/day to the salary, resulting in \$99/day. The net result is that the worker ends up with an overall pay cut of 1%.

This can (and should) be shown algebraically. Let the employee's original salary be  $S$ . Ten percent of the salary is  $0.10S$ , so the cut results in a new salary of  $S - 0.10S = 0.90S$ . Now, ten percent of  $0.90S$  is  $0.09S$ . After the raise, the final salary is  $0.90S + 0.09S = 0.99S$ , which is 99% of  $S$ . The employer isn't doing the worker any favors.

The errors of symmetry discussed above pertain to *relative change*, not *absolute change*. If the employer had cut salary by 10 *dollars* rather than ten percent, then raised it 10 dollars, the outcome would be a wash. Essentially, we would have  $S - \$10 + \$10 = S$ . In absolute terms, equal gains and losses cancel. In the case of the company growth/loss example, both companies changed *absolutely* by two units.

The moral of the story is that students need to communicate whether any differences to which they refer are *absolute* or *relative*.